Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,316	CHILDERS ET A	L.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Ginger T. Chapman</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Philip A. Kunz</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 20 August 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a) Bonomini in view of Stringer			
Claims discussed: 1, 62, 63			
Prior art documents discussed: Bonomini et al (US 4,269,708); Stringer et al (US 6,960,322 B2)	ı		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Ginger T Chapman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone call with Applicants' representative the features of the connector, the pump priming the patient fluid loop prior to being connected to the patient, and the first and second patient lumens, in particular the first patient lumen extending farther into the multiple lumen connector as disclosed in the instant Specification and Figure 2 and newly added claim 62 in combination with the features of the dialysis system were discussed.